

OFFICIAL
LEGAL JOURNAL
OF SUSQUEHANNA COUNTY, PA

34th Judicial District

Vol. 4 ★ October 25, 2019 ★ Montrose, PA ★ No. 30



IN THIS ISSUE

COURT OPINION, PT. 2	4
LEGAL NOTICES	11
SHERIFF'S SALES	14
MORTGAGES & DEEDS	17

CASES REPORTED

Laurel Lake Association, Inc., Plaintiff

VS.

Robert Hutchinson Rose, his Heirs, successors, and assigns,
generally executors, administrators, legatees, grantees, and all other
persons interested in said property, et al, Defendants

© 2019 Legal Journal of Susquehanna County



**Court of Common Pleas
34th Judicial District:**

The Hon. Jason J. Legg
President Judge

The Hon. Kenneth W. Seamans
Senior Judge

The Legal Journal of Susquehanna County contains decisions of the Susquehanna County Court, legal notices, advertisements & other matters of legal interest. It is published every Friday by the Susquehanna County Bar Association.



The Official Legal Publication of Susquehanna County, Pennsylvania



Legal Journal of Susquehanna County

Michael Briechle, Esq., Editor
mike@briechlelaw.com

Publisher:
Bailey Design and Advertising
3305 Lake Ariel Highway, Suite 3
Honesdale, PA 18431
P: 570-251-1512
F: 570-647-0086
susqco.com

Submit advertisements to
baileyd@ptd.net

OFFICERS

President
Michael Briechle, Esq.

Vice-President
Francis X. O'Connor, Esq.

Secretary
Rachael A. Ballard-Thomas, Esq.

Treasurer
Zachary D. Morahan, Esq.

Court Administrator
Cathy Hawley

Cover illustration by Kathleen Howell, an award-winning Pennsylvania artist. Her renderings of the “Pennsylvania County Courthouse Series” are on display at the Pennsylvania Judicial Center in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

The Legal Journal of Susquehanna County is published and produced by the Susquehanna County Bar Association and Bailey Design and Advertising.

By requirement of Law and Order of Court the *Legal Journal of Susquehanna County* is made the medium for the publication of all Legal Advertisements required to be made in Susquehanna County, and contains all Notices of the Sheriff, Register, Clerk of the Courts, Prothonotary and all other Public Officers, Assignees, Administrators and Executors, Auditors, Examiners, Trustees, Insolvents, the formation and dissolution of Partnerships, affording indispensable protection against loss resulting from want of notice. It also contains the Trial and Argument Lists of all the Courts in Susquehanna County, and selected Opinions and Decisions of the Courts of Susquehanna County.

All legal notices must be submitted either via email or in typewritten form and are published exactly as submitted by the advertiser. *The Legal Journal* assumes no responsibility to edit, make spelling corrections, eliminate errors in grammar or make any changes in context. As pertains to all content in each issue, all efforts have been made to accurately publish the information provided by court sources, however Publisher and Susquehanna County Bar Association cannot be held liable for any typographical errors or errors in factual information contained therein.

Legal notices must be received before 10:00 AM on the Monday preceding publication or, in the event of a holiday, on the preceding Friday.

MESSAGE FROM THE SUSQUEHANNA COUNTY BAR ASSOCIATION



The Legal Journal of Susquehanna County is a comprehensive weekly guide containing legal decisions of the 34th Judicial District encompassing civil actions filed; mortgages and deeds filed; legal notices; advertisements and other matters of legal interest. On behalf of the Susquehanna County Bar Association, we appreciate the opportunity to serve the legal community by providing a consolidated source of significant matters of legal importance.

PRICING & RATES

Notice Pricing

One time Insertions

Incorporation Notices	\$45
Fictitious Name Registration	\$45
Petition for Change of Name	\$45
Estate Notice (3-time insertion)	\$65
Orphans Court; Accounting on Estates (2-time insertion)	\$45

All other notices will be billed at \$1.90 per line. Minimum insertion fees apply.

A fee of \$10 will be added to all legal notices for the Notarized Proof of Publication.

Subscription Rates

Per Year

Mailed Copy	\$100
Emailed Copy	\$50
Mailed & Emailed	\$125

Individual copies available for \$5 each

Subscription Year: March–February

Prorated subscriptions available

SUSQUEHANNA COUNTY OFFICIALS

Judge of the Court of Common Pleas

Jason J. Legg, *President Judge*
Kenneth W. Seamans, *Senior Judge*

Magisterial District Judges

Jeffrey Hollister
Jodi L. Cordner, Esq.
Suzanne Brainard

Court Administrator

Cathy Hawley

Sheriff

Lance Benedict

District Attorney

Marion O’Malley, Esq.

Prothonotary, Clerk of The Court

Jan Krupinski

Chief Public Defender

Linda LaBarbara, Esq.

Commissioners

Alan M. Hall
Elizabeth M. Arnold
MaryAnn Warren

Treasurer

Jason D. Miller

Register of Wills/Recorder of Deeds/

Clerk of The Orphan’s Court
Michelle Estabrook

Coroner

Anthony J. Conarton

Auditors

George Starzec
Susan Jennings
Richard Suraci

Susquehanna County Courthouse — 105 Maple Street, Montrose, PA 18801 ★ 570.278.4600
Hours: Monday–Friday, 8:30 a.m.–4:30 p.m.

COURT OPINION

No. 2015-1162 C.P.

LAUREL LAKE ASSOCIATION, INC.
Plaintiff

vs.

**ROBERT HUTCHINSON ROSE, his Heirs, successors, and assigns,
generally executors, administrators, legatees, grantees, and
all other persons interested in said property, et al,
Defendants**

Opinion continued from the October 18, 2019 issue

IV. Discussion

The Association asserts that the uncontested evidence of its control of the water levels of Laurel Lake, coupled with its water maintenance efforts and policing of use of the lake, satisfies the requirements of adverse possession so as to find that legal title to the 42-acres of real property submerged under the waters of Laurel Lake has passed to the Association. The Roses argue that the Association has not presented sufficient evidence to establish adverse possession of the submerged real property.

Adverse possession is an extraordinary doctrine which permits one to achieve ownership of another's property by operation of law and the grant of this extraordinary privilege must be based upon clear evidence. See Edmondson v. Dolinich, 453 A.2d 611, 614 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1982) ("It is a serious matter indeed to take away another's property. That is why the law imposes such strict requirements of proof on one who claims title by adverse possession.") In order to establish title by adverse possession, the claimant must prove actual, continuous, exclusive, visible, notorious, distinct and hostile possession of the land for twenty-one years. See Baylor v. Soska, 658 A.2d 743, 744 (Pa. 1995). Each of these elements must exist, otherwise the possession will not confer title. See Conneaut Lake Park, Inc. v. Klingensmith, 66 A.2d 828, 829 (Pa. 1949).

Actual possession of land means dominion over the land but is not equivalent to occupancy. See Reed v. Wolyniec, 471 A.2d 80, 85 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1983). Actual possession of property may be established in connection with the maintenance of a residence, by cultivation of the land, by enclosure of the land, or by making improvements to the land and paying property taxes. See Glenn v. Shuey, 595 A.2d 606, 611 n. 5 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1991). The determination of what constitutes actual possession of property for purposes of adverse possession depends on the specific facts of each case and the character of the premises. Id. "Such occupation must be

exclusive, and of such a character as compels the real owner to take notice of the possession of the disseisor.” See Bigham v. Wenschhof, 295 Pa. Super. 146, 150, 441 A.2d 391, 393 (1982).

Distinct and exclusive possession can be established “by acts, which at the time, considering the state of the land, comport with ownership; viz., such acts as would ordinarily be exercised by an owner in appropriating land to his own use and the exclusion of others.” Lyons v. Andrews, 313 A.2d 313, 315-16 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1973)(citing Family Land & Investment Co., Inc. v. Williams, 138 So.2d 696, 699 (1961.)) However, “exclusive” possession does not need to be absolutely exclusive. See Reed v. Wolyniec, 471 A.2d 80, 84 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1983)(finding that exclusive character of plaintiff’s possession was not destroyed because other persons occasionally passed over fifty foot wide lot adjacent to their residence).

The term “visible and notorious possession” relating to claims of adverse possession means that “the claim of ownership must be evidenced by conduct sufficient to place a reasonable person on notice that his or her land is being held by the claimant as his own.” In re Rights of Way & Easements Situate in Twp. of Mt. Pleasant, 47 A.3d 166, 173-74 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2012)(finding that fencing in area, installing drainage pipes and keeping grazing animals throughout the property placed titled owners on notice establishing visible and notorious possession).

Finally, “a person is in hostile possession when he acts with reference to the land in the same way that the owner would act.” Zeglin v. Gahagen, 571 Pa. 321, 328, 812 A.2d 558, 562 (2002). Hostile does not mean ill will or hostility, but indicates an assertion of ownership rights adverse to that of the true owner and all others. See In re Rights of Way & Easements, 47 A.3d at 174 (explaining that where plaintiffs were aware that they were not rightful owners of property yet treated the property as their own, “hostile” possession was supported). If all the elements of adverse possession are established, the element of hostility is implied. Brennan v. Manchester Crossings, Inc., 708 A.2d 815, 822 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1998).

As previously indicated, a determination as to what constitutes actual possession of property for purposes of adverse possession depends on the nature of the property. Here, the property in question constitutes 42-acres of submerged real property under the waters of Laurel Lake. The Rose deeds demonstrate that this real property was submerged when Robert Hutchinson Rose began selling off the real property that surrounded and abutted Laurel Lake. Thus, the question becomes what conduct is sufficiently open, notorious, adverse, exclusive and hostile so as to warrant acquisition of title to submerged real property through adverse possession.

In Camp Chicopee v. Eden, 154 A. 305 (Pa. 1931), a lake front property owner asserted a claim for adverse possession of a portion of a 30-acre lake based on partial fencing that extended into the lake itself, boating, fishing, removal of ice, policing of the lake, and the payment of real property taxes. In finding that the property owner’s actions did not confer title through adverse possession, the Supreme Court found that “during the statutory period the [claimant] exercised no acts of ownership [over the submerged

real property] that could be held adverse” and explained:

It is a novel proposition that the holder of a record title must from time to time make proclamation on every part of his land of his right thereto under penalty that he will lose it to an intruder if he does not. [A]n owner of a coal mine does not have to live in it in order to prevent the acquiring of a title thereto by adverse possession, and the same thin[g] can be said with equal propriety and force as to the lands covered by the waters of a lake. The owner thereof does not have to become amphibious and dwell part of the time in the lake in order to retain his title thereto.

154 A. at 307 (citation omitted).⁸ Camp Chicopee makes clear that adverse possession of submerged real property requires more than mere use and occasional maintenance of the waters which cover the real property itself. Id.

The Association relies heavily upon Ariel Land Owners, Inc. v. Dring, No. 3:CV-01-0294, 2008 WL 189644 (M.D. Pa. Jan. 18, 2008), aff'd, 374 Fed. Appx. 346 (3rd Cir. 2010), in which the Third Circuit concluded that a lake association's control of the lake water level through the lake association's dam for a period in excess of 21 years was sufficient to convey title by adverse possession. Id.⁹ Lake Ariel is not binding on this

⁸ In Camp Chicopee, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court specifically declined to address the question of whether submerged real property could be adversely possessed. Camp Chicopee, 154 A. at 307 (“We need not determine, because [it is] not necessary to the decision of the case, whether adverse possession can be acquired to land covered by water where the alleged possessory acts are performed on or in the water and not upon the land itself.”). Prior to the Camp Chicopee decision, the Superior Court opined that submerged real property could be adversely possessed. See Fitzwater v. Fassett, 69 Pa. Super. 286, 288 (1918) (finding title to a portion of lake bed was conveyed by adverse possession based upon fencing to low water mark, watering cattle in lake and using the lakeshore area for more than 25 years). Fitzwater is not inconsistent with Camp Chicopee to the extent that it related to only a portion of the lake bed that was adversely possessed based upon actual usage of the lake bed – not merely using the waters of the lake itself. Given that the Supreme Court in Camp Chicopee did not create a blanket prohibition of adversely possessing submerged real property, coupled with the Superior Court’s determination in Fitzwater that submerged real property could be adversely possessed, the Fitzwater decision is binding upon this court and Pennsylvania law currently permits the adverse possession of submerged real property.

⁹ The Third Circuit recognized that there was no “controlling state precedent” regarding the adverse possession of submerged real property. Id. at 350. Thus, Lake Ariel involves a federal court making a prediction as to how the Pennsylvania Supreme Court would rule. In attempting to distinguish Camp Chicopee, the Third Circuit simply noted that it involved only “occasional use of the property” and that “[c]ontinuous control of the water level of an entire lake is qualitatively distinct.” Id. at 350 n.2. The Third Circuit provided no explanation as to how control of water level of a lake results in adverse possession of the submerged real property itself. Moreover, as noted earlier, the record reflects that 42-acres of real property subject to this litigation was submerged in the 1800s when Robert Hutchinson Rose first began selling off the surrounding real property. In other words, the real property was submerged before the Association ever existed or owned any real property. Further, as the record notes, the Association lacks the ability to unilaterally raise and lower the water levels; rather, the Association must obtain a permit from a Commonwealth agency in order to do so. At best, the maintenance of a dam that floods real property may result in a prescriptive water easement over the real property – but it does not equate to adverse possession of the real property itself. See Costello v. Harris, 29 A. 874 (Pa. 1894) (finding that mill owner had a prescriptive easement to build a dam and flood 27 acres of real property for purposes of providing water to his mill).

court. See Chiropractic Nutritional Assocs., Inc. v. Empire Blue Cross & Blue Shield, 669 A.2d 975, 979-80 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1995) (finding that “the decisions of the federal district courts and courts of appeal, including those of the Third Circuit Court of Appeals, are not binding on Pennsylvania courts, even when a federal question is involved”). As an unpublished opinion, it has absolutely no precedential value. See 3d Cir. I.O.P. 5.7 (2002)(indicating that non-precedential “opinions are not regarded as precedents that bind the court because they do not circulate to the full court before filing”); In Re Grand Jury Investigation 445 F.3d 266, 276 (3d Cir. 2006).

To the extent that its persuasive value has been considered, Lake Ariel contains very little analysis of the requirements necessary for adverse possession, i.e., open and exclusive possession necessary to place a land owner on notice of the adverse claim. If the broad ruling of Lake Ariel were accepted, the bright-line rule would become whomever owns the dam will own the lake – after 21 years. There is nothing in prior Pennsylvania precedent that would warrant such a broad and permissive rule of adverse possession.

Laurel Lake existed when Rose was initially selling parcels in the 1800s. The Association has presented no evidence that anything has changed that would place the Roses on notice that title to the lake bed was being adversely attacked. The Roses’ property had water on it in the 1800s and it still does today. There is nothing in this record to suggest that the water levels of Laurel Lake have changed in any manner – or even what the water levels would be if the dam was not maintained. The Association’s use and maintenance of the waters of Laurel Lake were consistent with the type of usage of any substantial body of water for recreational purposes by adjoining property owners. Such usage would not put the title owner of the lake bed on notice of any hostile or adverse claims to the submerged real property. Even if the Roses had become amphibious, they still would not have been placed on notice of any adverse claim simply because a third party owned the dam and the water levels rose and fell on two occasions each year. See Camp Chicopee, supra; see Acre Lake Improvement Association, Inc. v. Reynolds, No. 2003 – 964 C.P. (Susq. Cty. Court Comm. Pleas, Jan. 15, 2007) (finding that lake association had failed to demonstrate acquisition of title to lake bed through adverse possession).

There is no binding Pennsylvania precedent as to whether possession and maintenance of a dam constituted adverse possession of the real property submerged by the waters retained by the dam itself. Similarly, there are likewise very few reported decisions in our sister jurisdictions addressing this issue but the court was able to locate two decisions of the Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals that provide similar facts.

In Leake v. Richardson, 103 S.E.2.d 227 (Va. 1958), a property owner asserted an adverse possession claim to a lake bed based on more than 25 years of stocking the lake with fish, controlling use of the lake for fishing, maintaining the mill dam, repairing the dam spillway and paying caretakers to live on the property and patrol it to keep out trespassers. After considering these facts, the court concluded that this

evidence was not sufficient to establish legal title to the lake bed by adverse possession.¹⁰

After the Leake decision, in Custis Fishing & Hunting Club, Inc. v. Johnson, 200 S.E.2d 542 (Va. 1973), the Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals found that a hunting and fishing club had not exercised sufficient control over a contested 100-acre lake to support its claim for adverse possession of the lake bed. The fishing club maintained the dam and spillway for the lake, stocked the lake with fish, employed lake caretakers and posted “No Trespassing” signs. Id. at 393. The fishing club also raised and lowered the water level of the lake through its exclusive control of the dam. Id. These acts were insufficient to establish title through adverse possession. In specifically addressing the raising and lowering of the water levels of the lake, the court concluded that such actions did not “[constitute] a hostile act for purposes of establishing title by adverse possession.” Id. at 394.¹¹ The court finds both Leake and Custis Fishing & Hunting Club more aligned with the requirements of adverse possession under Pennsylvania law than the Lake Ariel decision.

“An adverse possessor must intend to hold the land for himself, and that intention must be made manifest by his act.... He must keep his flag flying and present a hostile front to all adverse pretensions.” Recreation Land Corp. v. Hartzfeld, 947 A.2d 771, 775 (Pa. Super. Ct. 2008). As it relates to the stringent requirements necessary to adversely seize legal title from a lawful owner, Lake Ariel failed to recognize that control of a dam has nothing whatsoever to do with actual and hostile possession of the submerged real property itself. Submerged real property is analogous to the “wild and mountainous” lands upon which few people have walked or traversed. See Camp Chicopee, 154 A. at 307. As to adverse possession of such things as woodlands, Pennsylvania courts have applied a stringent standard for adverse possession that requires some actual conduct that would place a reasonable property owner on notice of the potential claim such as cultivation, enclosure, or construction of a residence upon the real property itself. See Piston v. Hughes, 62 A.3d 440, 443-44 (Pa. Super. Ct. 2013) (affirming refusal to recognize adverse possession of undeveloped small parcel within a larger woodland parcel); Recreational Land Corp. v. Hartzfield, 947 A.2d 771, 774 (Pa. Super. Ct. 2008) (affirming refusal to recognize adverse possession of wooded property near a lake in the

10 Under Virginia law, a person who owns real property abutting upon a fresh water pond or lake likewise acquires title to the center of the body of water. Id. at 234. Thus, in Leake, the plaintiff was asserting an adverse possession claim against all of the other lake front property owners who each owned property to the center of the lake bed.

11 As noted earlier, Virginia law provided each of the lake front property owners with legal title to the center of the lake bed. The Virginia Supreme Court of Appeals explained that “[a]bsent efforts intended to specifically exclude the [other property owners] or their predecessors, [the Club’s action in employing caretakers and policing the lake] was neither exclusive nor hostile as a matter of law with respect to the [other property owners.]” Id. at 394. Likewise, in this case, the Association has not excluded the 40 different property owners who are not Association members from their use and enjoyment of the lake – or the lake bed itself. The Association’s conduct was not hostile to the Roses’ title; rather, it was simply designed to promote the quality and enjoyment of the use of the waters of Laurel Lake itself.

absence of an enclosure or cultivation); Bride v. Robwood Lodge, 713 A.2d 109, 113 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1998) (“Since there was neither the establishment of a residence nor a cultivation within designated boundaries, we cannot conclude that [plaintiff] satisfied the essential prerequisites for proving he actually possessed the disputed parcel.”); Moore v. Duran, 687 A.2d 822, 829 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1996) (noting that an enclosure sufficient to support adverse possession of a woodland “must be complete and so open and notorious as to charge the owner with knowledge thereof”); Niles v. Fall Creek Hunting Club, Inc., 545 A.2d 926, 931 (Pa. Super. Ct. 1988) (holding that “adverse possession of woodland cannot be established by a mere showing of occasional or sporadic use of the land such as for hunting or partial timbering”). While submerged real property is not identical to woodland real property, it presents a similar scenario. See 3 Am. Jur.2d Adverse Possession § 254 (May 2019 Westlaw Update) (“Where land under water is the subject of private ownership, adverse possession may be acquired by any means that actually and notoriously exclude and oust the true owner.”); see also Stanberry V. Mallory, 39 S.W. 495, 495 (Ky. Ct. Appeals 1897) (finding that one who claims title by adverse possession to submerged real property must demonstrate “notorious acts of ownership”). Thus, the successful adverse possession claim against submerged real property must involve open, notorious and hostile conduct that would place a reasonable property owner on notice that his or her legal title was being attacked. See Pennsylvania Fish & Boat Commission v. Demaree, 2012 WL 8667594, at *4 (affirming award of title to small area within lake bed where the record demonstrated that a boat launch and a floating dock had been maintained on the disputed property for a period in excess of 21 years). As in Leake and Custis Fishing & Hunting Club, the ability to raise and lower the water level through control of a dam, coupled with use of the lake, policing the lake, and efforts to maintain its water quality, are insufficient to demonstrate an intent to adversely possess the legal title to the lake bed itself. The Association’s conduct would not have placed the Roses on notice that the Association was asserting a claim to the legal title to the lake bed.¹²

Moreover, the Association has likewise failed to establish the exclusivity element necessary to establish adverse possession. Laurel Lake is used by *all* property owners who each have rights to use of the lake pursuant to their deeds. Not all lake property owners are members of the Association and the Association cannot exclude those non-members from using the lake, maintaining the lake, pulling weeds from the lake or doing

12 In other words, if the Roses were to visit the lake, although the level of the lake may be noticeably lower during periods when the Association has used the dam to lower the water level, the Roses could not reasonably conclude from the lowered water level of the lake that the Association was asserting ownership of the lake bed itself. Rather, the Roses would understand that the Association, as the party controlling the dam, had decided to raise or lower the lake waters for the benefit of the lakefront property owners – not as a means to adversely possess legal title to the lake bed itself.

As to the assertion that treating the lake for algae and weeds supports the Association’s claim for adverse possession, the Roses could not reasonably conclude that the Association was asserting ownership of the lake bed simply because it caused the water to be treated to control the algae and weeds in Laurel Lake. The maintenance of the lake waters is more reasonably related to enjoyment of the lake itself not an adverse claim of ownership to the legal title of the lake bed.

any other acts that are consistent with lakefront property ownership. Given that the lake is used by all lake property owners, not exclusively by Association members, the Association cannot establish that it has distinctly and exclusively possessed the lake for the required 21 years.

The parties do not dispute the factual record. This case presents a legal question as to what conduct is necessary to adversely possess submerged real property. The undisputed record demonstrates that the Association never actually possessed the lake bed of Laurel Lake. The mere control of the dam itself and the ability to raise and lower the water level after obtaining appropriate state approval are insufficient to demonstrate actual possession of the submerged real property. While the Association may have acquired a prescriptive easement to maintain Laurel Lake's historic water levels, the right to maintain water over real property is markedly different from acquiring legal title to the same real property. In the absence of actual possession, an adverse possession claim fails.

The Association has likewise failed to demonstrate that the possession was exclusive. The record demonstrates that the Association's possession was not exclusive as there are approximately 40 other lake property owners who use and enjoy Laurel Lake but are not members of the Association. The record likewise fails to demonstrate that the Association's actions were notorious and hostile. At best, the Association engaged in conduct aimed at maintaining Laurel Lake for recreational purposes not notorious and hostile conduct aimed at seizing legal title through adverse possession. The record fails to disclose any continuing act that would have placed the Roses on notice that the Association was adversely possessing the submerged real property under the waters of Laurel Lake.¹³ Conversely, the undisputed facts demonstrate that the Roses have not been divested of legal title to the lake bed of Laurel Lake by adverse possession.

V. Conclusion

For the reasons set forth herein, the Association's motion for summary judgment will be denied and the Roses' motion for summary judgment will be granted.



¹³ The payment of real estate taxes commencing in 2007 is the only act that clearly asserts a claim of adverse ownership. These actions, however, have not occurred over a 21-year period.

LEGAL NOTICES

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF SUSQUEHANNA COUNTY COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

ESTATE NOTICES

Notice is hereby given that, in the estate of the decedents set forth below, the Register of Wills, has granted letters testamentary or of administration to the persons named. All persons having claims or demands against said estates are requested to present the same without delay and all persons indebted to said estates are requested to make immediate payment to the executors or administrators or their attorneys named below.

ESTATE NOTICE

RE: ESTATE OF ROBERT BLOM, late of Montrose, Susquehanna County, Pennsylvania (died October 11, 2019). Letters Testamentary having been granted, all persons having claims or demands against estate of decedent shall make them known and present them, and all persons indebted to said decedent shall make payment thereof without delay to Nancy Lynn Addice, 3330 State Route 3006, Springville, PA 18844 or Cathleen Doris Pettis, 9 Birch Drive, Tunkhannock, PA 18657, Executors, or to Jenna Kraycer Tuzzze, of Oliver, Price & Rhodes, Attorney for the Estate, 1212 South Abington Road, P.O. Box 240, Clarks Summit, Pennsylvania 18411.

10/25/2019 • 11/1/2019 • 11/8/2019

EXECUTOR NOTICE

Estate of Frances B. Allen
Late of Montrose Borough
EXECUTOR
Harold T. Allen
16 Halls Rd.
Great Bend, PA 18821
ATTORNEY
Laurence M. Kelly
65 Public Avenue
Montrose, PA 18801

10/25/2019 • 11/1/2019 • 11/8/2019

ESTATE NOTICE

Estate of Bonnie Mae Laird a/k/a Bonnie M. Laird, Deceased. Late of Auburn Twp., Susquehanna County, PA. D.O.D. 8/9/19. Letters Testamentary on the above Estate have been granted to the undersigned, who request all persons having claims or demands against the estate of the decedent to make known the same and all persons indebted to the decedent to make payment without delay to Tammy M. Goodwin, Todd M. Laird & Tina M. Salsman, Executors, 11 Kintners Rd., Tunkhannock, PA 18657.

10/25/2019 • 11/1/2019 • 11/8/2019

ESTATE NOTICE

Letters of Administration in the Estate of Thomas J. O'Reilly have been granted to Shauna O'Reilly. All persons indebted to said estate are requested to make prompt payment and all having claims against said estate will present them without delay to:

Marissa McAndrew, Esq.
Briechle Law Offices, P.C.
707 Main Street
PO Box 157
Forest City, PA 18421
Attorney for the Estate

10/11/2019 • 10/18/2019 • 10/25/2019

NOTICE OF PUBLICATION

ESTATE OF RICHARD F. SHINN, late of the Borough of Forest City, Susquehanna County, Pennsylvania.

Date of Death: 09/04/2019
Administrators: Joseph A. Shinn and Jean Shinn
Attorneys: Needle Law, P.C.
240 Penn Avenue – Suite 202
Scranton, PA 18503

10/11/2019 • 10/18/2019 • 10/25/2019

EXECUTRIX NOTICE

Estate of Lane N. Puzo
Late of Bridgewater Township
EXECUTRIX
Patricia L. Jenner
2394 Turnpike Road
Montrose, PA 18801

ATTORNEY
Michael J. Giangrieco, Esq.
Giangrieco Law, PC
P.O. Box 126
Montrose, PA 18801

10/11/2019 • 10/18/2019 • 10/25/2019

ESTATE NOTICE

In the Estate of Dolores L. Mood, late of the Township of Bridgewater, Susquehanna County, Pennsylvania,

Letters Testamentary in the above estate having been granted to the undersigned, all persons indebted to said estate are requested to make prompt payment and all those having claims against said estate will present them without delay to:

Robert F. Mood
15375 State Route 3001
Montrose, PA 18801

or

Attorney for the Estate
Susan L. English, Esq.
Coughlin & Gerhart, LLP
21-23 Public Avenue
Montrose, PA 18801

10/11/2019 • 10/18/2019 • 10/25/2019

EXECUTOR NOTICE

Estate of Joan Mary Smith AKA
Joan M. Smith
Late of Thompson Borough
EXECUTOR
Richard Ulrich
4846 Little Ireland Road

Starucca, PA 18462
ATTORNEY
Rachael Thomas, Esq.
1220 Main Street, P.O. Box 244
Susquehanna, PA 18847

10/11/2019 • 10/18/2019 • 10/25/2019

ESTATE NOTICE

Notice is hereby given that letters of administration have been granted in the ESTATE OF BEVERLY CASEY, late of 307 Shadduck Road, Little Meadows, Township of Middletown, Susquehanna Co. Pennsylvania 18830, who died on February 2, 2019, to AMY L. SPENCER, of 71 Broome Street, Binghamton, NY 13903 , attorney, Thomas P. Rizzuto, Esq., Leasure, Gow, Munk & Rizzuto, Esqs., 101 Jefferson Avenue, Endicott, NY. All persons indebted to said estate are required to make payment and those having claims or demands to present the same without delay to the administrators or executors named or their attorneys.

10/11/2019 • 10/18/2019 • 10/25/2019

OTHER NOTICES

NOTICE

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS
COMMONWEALTH OF
PENNSYLVANIA
COUNTY OF SUSQUEHANNA

IN RE: E.J.C. A Minor
No. 1126CP2019

.....
NOTICE OF HEARING
.....

AND NOW, this 27th day of September, 2019, it is **ORDERED** and **DECREED** that the within Petition for Change of Name be heard on the 8th day of November, 2019, at 8:45 a.m. in Room 1 of the Susquehanna County Courthouse, 31 Lake Avenue, Montrose, Pennsylvania 18801.

Alfred G. Howell, Esquire
109 Ninth Street
Honesdale, Pennsylvania 18431
(570) 253-2520

10/25/2019



NOTICE OF FILING OF SHERIFF'S SALES

Individual Sheriff's Sales can be cancelled for a variety of reasons. The notices enclosed were accurate as of the publish date. Sheriff's Sale notices are posted on the public bulletin board of the Susquehanna County Sheriff's Office, located at 105 Maple Street, Montrose, PA.

SHERIFF'S SALE MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE NOVEMBER 26, 2019

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF SUSQUEHANNA COUNTY, upon Judgment entered therein, there will be exposed to public sale and outcry in the Sheriff's Office, Susquehanna County Courthouse Montrose, Pennsylvania, the following described real estate, to wit:

SALE DATE AND TIME

November 26, 2019 at 9:00 AM

Writ of Execution No.:

2019-947CP

PROPERTY ADDRESS: 27970

State Route 267

Friendsville, PA 18818

LOCATION: Township of

Choconut

Tax ID # 005.04-1,006.00,000

IMPROVEMENTS: ONE – TWO

STORY WOOD FRAMED

DWELLING

ONE – 16 X 22 WOOD FRAMED

SHED

DEFENDANTS: Pamela A. Sickler

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF:

Brian LaManna, Esq

(215) 572-8111

NOTICE

The Sheriff shall not be liable for loss or damage to the premises sold resulting from any cause whatsoever and makes no representation or warranty regarding the condition of the premises. **Notice** is hereby given and directed to all parties in interest and claimants that a Schedule of Distribution will be filed by the Sheriff no later than 30 days after the sale and that distribution will be made in accordance with that Schedule unless exceptions are filed thereto within ten (10) days thereafter. Full amount of bid plus poundage must be paid on the date of the sale by 4:30 p.m. or deed will not be acknowledged. For details on individual Sheriff Sales please go to: www.susquehannasheriff.com/sheriffsales.html

Lance M. Benedict,
Susquehanna County Sheriff

10/11/2019 • 10/18/2019 • 10/25/2019

SHERIFF'S SALE MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE NOVEMBER 26, 2019

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF SUSQUEHANNA COUNTY, upon Judgment entered therein, there will be exposed to public sale and outcry in the Sheriff's Office, Susquehanna County Courthouse Montrose, Pennsylvania, the following described real estate, to wit:

SALE DATE AND TIME

November 26, 2019 at 9:30 AM

Writ of Execution No.:
2019-920 CP
PROPERTY ADDRESS: 271 Main
Street
Great Bend, PA 18821
LOCATION: Borough of Great
Bend
Tax ID # 031.15-1,053.00,000
IMPROVEMENTS: ONE – ONE
AND ONE HALF STORY WOOD
FRAMED DWELLING
ONE – 20 X 20 WOOD FRAME
GARAGE
DEFENDANTS: Barbara A.
Clayton a/k/a Barbara Kibler and
London L. Kibler, Sr a/k/a London
Kibler
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF:
Brian LaManna, Esq
(215) 572-8111

NOTICE

The Sheriff shall not be liable for loss or damage to the premises sold resulting from any cause whatsoever and makes no representation or warranty regarding the condition of the premises. **Notice** is hereby given and directed to all parties in interest and claimants that a Schedule of Distribution will be filed by the Sheriff no later than 30 days after the sale and that distribution will be made in accordance with that Schedule unless exceptions are filed thereto within ten (10) days thereafter. Full amount of bid plus poundage must be paid on the date of the sale by 4:30 p.m. or deed will not be acknowledged. For details on individual Sheriff Sales please go to: www.susquehannasheriff.com/sheriffsales.html

Lance M. Benedict,
Susquehanna County Sheriff

10/11/2019 • 10/18/2019 • 10/25/2019

SHERIFF'S SALE MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE NOVEMBER 26, 2019

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF SUSQUEHANNA COUNTY, upon Judgment entered therein, there will be exposed to public sale and outcry in the Sheriff's Office, Susquehanna County Courthouse Montrose, Pennsylvania, the following described real estate, to wit:

SALE DATE AND TIME

11-26-2019 10:00 AM

Writ of Execution No.:

2019-1011 CP

PROPERTY ADDRESS: 301

Porter Drive

Clifford, Pa 18421

LOCATION: Clifford Township

Tax ID #: 247.00-1,061.00,000

IMPROVEMENTS: ONE - ONE
STORY MANUFACTURED
DWELLING

ONE - 10 X 16 SHED

DEFENDANTS: Danita Eggelton, Executrix of the Estate of William Eggelton, deceased and Danita Eggelton, in her Individual capacity

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF:
David Brown, Esq
(570) 346-7922

NOTICE

The Sheriff shall not be liable for loss or damage to the premises sold resulting from any cause whatsoever and makes no

representation or warranty regarding the condition of the premises. **Notice** is hereby given and directed to all parties in interest and claimants that a Schedule of Distribution will be filed by the Sheriff no later than 30 days after the sale and that distribution will be made in accordance with that Schedule unless exceptions are filed thereto within ten (10) days thereafter. Full amount of bid plus poundage must be paid on the date of the sale by 4:30 p.m. or deed will not be acknowledged. For details on individual Sheriff Sales please go to: www.susquehannasheriff.com/sheriffsales.html

Lance M. Benedict,
Susquehanna County Sheriff

10/11/2019 • 10/18/2019 • 10/25/2019

**SHERIFF'S SALE
MORTGAGE FORECLOSURE
NOVEMBER 26, 2019**

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF SUSQUEHANNA COUNTY, upon Judgment entered therein, there will be exposed to public sale and outcry in the Sheriff's Office, Susquehanna County Courthouse Montrose, Pennsylvania, the following described real estate, to wit:

SALE DATE AND TIME

11-26-2019 10:30 AM

Writ of Execution No.:
2019-1000 CP

PROPERTY ADDRESS: RR 4 Box 192C a/k/a 12248 State Route 3001 Montrose, PA 18801-8437

LOCATION: Dimock Township
Tax ID #: 179.00-1,044.00,000
IMPROVEMENTS: ONE - TWO STORY WOOD FRAMED DWELLING
ONE - 12 X 56 METAL FRAMED CLOSED SHED
ONE - 76 X 14 METAL FRAMED CLOSED SHED
DEFENDANTS: William R. Hillier, JR and Barbara Hillier
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF:
Peter Wapner, Esq
(215) 563-7000

NOTICE

The Sheriff shall not be liable for loss or damage to the premises sold resulting from any cause whatsoever and makes no representation or warranty regarding the condition of the premises. **Notice** is hereby given and directed to all parties in interest and claimants that a Schedule of Distribution will be filed by the Sheriff no later than 30 days after the sale and that distribution will be made in accordance with that Schedule unless exceptions are filed thereto within ten (10) days thereafter. Full amount of bid plus poundage must be paid on the date of the sale by 4:30 p.m. or deed will not be acknowledged. For details on individual Sheriff Sales please go to: www.susquehannasheriff.com/sheriffsales.html

Lance M. Benedict,
Susquehanna County Sheriff

10/11/2019 • 10/18/2019 • 10/25/2019

MORTGAGES AND DEEDS

*RECORDED FROM OCTOBER 8, 2019 TO OCTOBER 16, 2019
ACCURACY OF THE ENTRIES IS NOT GUARANTEED.*

MORTGAGES

Information:	Consideration: \$60,000.00
Mortgagor: FLYNNAGANS INC	Mortgagee: FLYNN, JAMES J
Locations: Parcel # 1 - N/A	Municipality HALLSTEAD BOROUGH
Information:	Consideration: \$50,000.00
Mortgagor: FENERTY, GLEN 2 - FENERTY, ELLEN	Mortgagee: AMERICAN HERITAGE CREDIT UNION
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 171.00-1,038.00.000.	Municipality ARARAT TOWNSHIP
Information: RE-RECORDING	Consideration: \$50,000.00
Mortgagor: BUTTON, MARIO	Mortgagee: PEOPLES NATIONAL BANK
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 054.10-1,049.00.000.	Municipality OAKLAND BOROUGH
Information:	Consideration: \$140,000.00
Mortgagor: PRUSHINSKI, MICHAEL 2 - PRUSHINSKI, KATHLEEN	Mortgagee: HONESDALE NATIONAL BANK
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 210.15-1,003.00.000.	Municipality HERRICK TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$52,000.00
Mortgagor: MARVIN, GLENDA M	Mortgagee: PEOPLES SECURITY BANK AND TRUST COMPANY
2 - PICKERING JR, WILLIAM G	Municipality
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 090.18-2,020.00.000.	NEW MILFORD BOROUGH
Information:	Consideration: \$6,500.00
Mortgagor: HARRIS, KIMBERLY A 2 - KEYES, MICHAEL J	Mortgagee: PENNSYLVANIA HOUSING FINANCE AGENCY
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 054.11-2,069.00.000.	Municipality SUSQUEHANNA SUSQUEHANNA 2W
Information:	Consideration: \$100,000.00
Mortgagor: BENNINGER, DAVID B 2 - BENNINGER, KATHRYN R	Mortgagee: CITIZENS BANK OF PENNSYLVANIA
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 107.03-1,001.01.000.	Municipality BRIDGEWATER TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$85,425.00
Mortgagor: HADIX, JOHN G 2 - HADIX, THERESA E	Mortgagee: COMMUNITY BANK
No Municipality Information	
Information:	Consideration: \$30,000.00
Mortgagor: FRENCH, ROGER S	Mortgagee: PEOPLES SECURITY BANK AND TRUST COMPANY
2 - FRENCH, MARY J	Municipality
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 055.00-2,011.00.000.	LANESBORO BOROUGH

Information:	Consideration: \$65,000.00
Mortgagor: ALLEN, PATRICIA M	Mortgagee: VISIONS FEDERAL CREDIT UNION
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 031.00-3,091.00,000.	Municipality GREAT BEND TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$252,200.00
Mortgagor: KERR, MATTHEW J	Mortgagee: COMMUNITY BANK
2 - KERR, AMY J	
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 255.07-1,001.01,000.	Municipality SPRINGVILLE TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$58,886.80
Mortgagor: BURNS, MARY (AKA)	Mortgagee: SECRETARY OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
2 - BURNS, MARY CHRISTINE	2 - UNITED STATES SECRETARY OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
3 - BURNS, LEON A	
Locations: Parcel # 1 - N/A	Municipality CLIFFORD TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$148,328.00
Mortgagor: COLE, GARY T	Mortgagee: MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS INC
2 - NEW DAY FINANCIAL LLC	
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 180.00-1,009.00,000.	Municipality DIMOCK TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$237,840.00
Mortgagor: DAVIS, LORI S	Mortgagee: MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS INC
2 - DAVIS, WILLIAM D	2 - QUICKEN LOANS INC
Locations: Parcel # 1 - N/A	Municipality AUBURN TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$45,000.00
Mortgagor: SAVINO, MARIO	Mortgagee: THE DIME BANK
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 246.00-1,025.00,000.	Municipality CLIFFORD TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$102,450.00
Mortgagor: BABCOCK, JEFFREY D	Mortgagee: PEOPLES SECURITY BANK AND TRUST COMPANY
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 016.00-2,003.00,000.	Municipality HARMONY TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$45,000.00
Mortgagor: SUSQUEHANNA LODGE NO 794 LOYAL ORDER OF MOOSE (AKA)	Mortgagee: PEOPLES SECURITY BANK AND TRUST COMPANY
2 - LOYAL ORDER OR MOOSE # 794	
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 054.11-5,068.00,000.	Municipality SUSQUEHANNA
Information:	Consideration: \$12,000.00
Mortgagor: OAKLEY, CHRISTINE E	Mortgagee: PSECU
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 244.00-2,027.00,000.	Municipality LENOX TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$500,000.00
Mortgagor: ASHENFELDER, KURT SR	Mortgagee: POLICE AND FIRE FEDERAL CREDIT UNION
2 - ASHENFELDER, JOANNE	
Locations: Parcel # 1 - N/A	Municipality JACKSON TOWNSHIP

Information:	Consideration: \$18,000.00
Mortgagor: TERRY, PAUL F (AKA) 2 - TERRY, PAUL	Mortgagee: COMMUNITY BANK
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 044.00-2,006.00.000.	Municipality SILVER LAKE TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$21,000.00
Mortgagor: PURTELL, MICHAEL	Mortgagee: COMMUNITY BANK
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 021.04-1,006.00.000.	Municipality APOLACON TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$220,000.00
Mortgagor: DEWITT, KATHRYN 2 - PHILLIPS, TYLER	Mortgagee: QUICKEN LOANS INC
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 187.00-2,047.02.000.	Municipality GIBSON TOWNSHIP

DEEDS

Information:	Consideration: \$1.00
Grantor: DIAZ FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP	Grantee: PW LP
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 143.00-1,023.01.000.	Municipality BRIDGEWATER TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$1.00
Grantor: PW LP	Grantee: DIAZ FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
Locations: 1 - 143.00-1,023.00.000.	Parcel # Municipality BRIDGEWATER TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$46,000.00
Grantor: PACCIO, JOANN M	Grantee: FLYNNAGANS INC
Locations: Parcel # 1 - N/A	Municipality HALLSTEAD BOROUGH
Information:	Consideration: \$1.00
Grantor: BRANZUELA, LEILANI B	Grantee: BRANZUELA, DAWN M 2 - BRANZUELA, LEILANI B
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 011.03-1,004.00.000.	Municipality LIBERTY TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$298,000.00
Grantor: COLEMAN, MICHAEL J 2 - COLEMAN, LYN L	Grantee: CURLEY, WILLIAM F 2 - CURLEY, LAURA C
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 137.02-2,001.00.000.	Municipality MIDDLETOWN TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$28,900.00
Grantor: REMUS, ANTHONY J 2 - REMUS, SYLVIA L	Grantee: REMUS, ANTHONY FRANK
Locations: Parcel # 1 - N/A	Municipality HERRICK TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$750,000.00
Grantor: BUXBAUM, NANCY (TRUST BY TRUSTEE)	Grantee: MARK BUCKLEY ROAD ASSOCIATES LP
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 086.00-1,009.00.000.	Municipality FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$177,000.00
Grantor: CEASE, MARILYN JANE (BY ATTY)	Grantee: BURKE, BRIAN EDWARD II
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 176.00-1,027.00.000.	Municipality RUSH TOWNSHIP

Information:	Consideration: \$5,000.00
Grantor: VANVORCE, GUY F 2 - VANVORCE, HEIDI	Grantee: TOMAN, JOSEPH J 2 - TOMAN, KARLA KAY
Locations: Parcel # 1 - N/A	Municipality LIBERTY TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$1.00
Grantor: STONES RENTAL LLC	Grantee: STONE, GAIL
Locations: Parcel # 1 - N/A	Municipality BRIDGEWATER TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$10,000.00
Grantor: GALLOWAY, LEWIS O (ESTATE AKA) 2 - GALLOWAY, LEWIS ORVILLE (ESTATE) 3 - GALLOWAY, LEWIS J	Grantee: ROE, RONALD K 2 - ROE, PAULA
Locations: Parcel # 1 - N/A	Municipality OAKLAND TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$87,500.00
Grantor: PETERSON, PATRICIA A	Grantee: CORRIGAN, JOSEPH T
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 153.00-1,113.00,000.	Municipality ARARAT TOWNSHIP
Information: CORRECTIVE DEED	Consideration: \$1.00
Grantor: LYNADY, ERIN (NBD) 2 - DEBISH, ERIN	Grantee: DEBISH, ERIN
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 267.00-1,064.01,000.	Municipality CLIFFORD TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$250.00
Grantor: KRUPINSKI, JUDY Y	Grantee: RUSH CENTER CEMETERY ASSOCIATION
Locations: Parcel # 1 - N/A	Municipality RUSH TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$5,000.00
Grantor: BRUNORI, RICHARD 2 - BRUNORI, ANN C	Grantee: WERTH, DARREL K
Locations: Parcel # 1 - N/A	Municipality HERRICK TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$1.00
Grantor: BELCHER, ELEANOR J (ESTATE)	Grantee: SMARKUSKY, MARY M 2 - FLASHER, TERESA A 3 - BELCHER, DONALD L
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 238.08-1,050.00,000.	Municipality SPRINGVILLE TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$1.00
Grantor: WOOTTON FOUNDATION INC	Grantee: COMMONWEALTH CHARITABLE MANAGEMENT INC
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 124.14-2,022.01,000.	Municipality MONTROSE
Information:	Consideration: \$450,000.00
Grantor: HENDERSON, W J 2 - HOFFMANN, BEN 3 - LANE, THOMAS J	Grantee: GSB REAL ESTATE HOLDINGS LLC
Locations: Parcel # 1 - N/A	Municipality HARMONY TOWNSHIP
Information: INT NO 28 UNIT NO 37	Consideration: \$100.00
Grantor: LLOYD, DONALD	Grantee: BLODGETT, MAUREEN
Locations: Parcel # 1 - N/A	Municipality HERRICK TOWNSHIP

Information: INT NO 33 UNIT NO 47	Consideration: \$100.00
Grantor: HIGGINS, ROSE MARIE (AKA) 2 - HIGGINS, ROSE	Grantee: MENDEZ, GABRIEL 2 - MENDEZ, PHILIP
Locations: Parcel # 1 - N/A	Municipality HERRICK TOWNSHIP
Information: INT NO 31 UNIT NO 27	Consideration: \$100.00
Grantor: BECHTLE, DAVID	Grantee: BECHTLE, DAVID
Locations: Parcel # 1 - N/A	Municipality HERRICK TOWNSHIP
Information: INT NO 47 UNIT NO 43	Consideration: \$100.00
Grantor: POTTS, EDWARD 2 - POTTS, YVONNE	Grantee: BREMER HOF OWNERS INC
Locations: Parcel # 1 - N/A	Municipality HERRICK TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$1.00
Grantor: HOMILY, SHARAD	Grantee: PENNSYLVANIA COMMONWEALTH OF -DEPT OF TRANSPORTATION
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 048.00-1,060.01,000.	Municipality LIBERTY TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$10.00
Grantor: LAWRENCE, CHERYL A (FKA) 2 - CAHILL, CHERYL A	Grantee: GIBLIN, JOHN G
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 027.09-1,027.01,000.	Municipality SILVER LAKE TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$100,000.00
Grantor: FINNEGAN, EUGENE 2 - FINNEGAN, CHRISTINE	Grantee: DRAB, WALTER 2 - DRAB, EILEEN
Locations: Parcel # 1 - N/A	Municipality MIDDLETOWN TOWNSHIP
Information: SUBSURFACE	Consideration: \$21,345.00
Grantor: MCFARLAND, JEANMARIE	Grantee: LAMBERT, PETER J
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 164.00-1,049.02,000.	Municipality BROOKLYN TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$22,000.00
Grantor: RUHF, ETHEL MAE (ESTATE) 2 - RUHF, JANET 3 - RUHF, GERALD S 4 - RUHF, TERRY L 5 - STANELY, JUDY 6 - RUHF, PAUL A 7 - RUHF, BRETT 8 - RUHF, BRADLEY	Grantee: BENNETT, GARY D
Locations: Parcel # 1 - N/A	Municipality RUSH TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$10,500.00
Grantor: BRANDNER, ANTHONY F	Grantee: KEIPER, CHRIS 2 - KEIPER, MARY
Locations: Parcel # 1 - N/A	Municipality SPRINGVILLE TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$260,000.00
Grantor: YAKOSKI, THOMAS 2 - YAKOSKI, TONIPAULA	Grantee: KERR, MATTHEW J 2 - KERR, AMY J
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 255.07-1,001.01,000.	Municipality SPRINGVILLE TOWNSHIP

Information:	Consideration: \$1.00
Grantor: KARAKASH, JOHN T	Grantee: CABINHILL FARM PARTNERS LLC
Locations: Parcel #	Municipality
1 - N/A	HARFORD TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$1.00
Grantor: CAVENDER, DIANE S	Grantee: CAVENDER, CHARLES D
Locations: Parcel #	Municipality
1 - 222.00-3,005.00.000.	LENOX TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$37,000.00
Grantor: MCCARTHY, KATHLEEN	Grantee: SAVINO, MARIO
2 - MCCARTHY, MICHAEL	
3 - MCCARTHY, ANGELA	
Locations: Parcel #	Municipality
1 - N/A	CLIFFORD TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$2,000.00
Grantor: CORDNER, ROBERT L	Grantee: FRUEHAN, TIMOTHY R
2 - CORDNER, GLADYS M	2 - HOLBROOK, NORMA
Locations: Parcel #	Municipality
1 - N/A	OAKLAND BOROUGH
Information:	Consideration: \$110,000.00
Grantor: RIFENBURY, MARGARET E	Grantee: CEBULAR, RAYMOND E
Locations: Parcel #	Municipality
1 - 029.00-1,044.00.000.	LIBERTY TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$1.00
Grantor: KELLEY SR, JAMES L	Grantee: KELLEY SR, JAMES L
2 - KELLEY, BARBARA A	2 - KELLEY, BARBARA A
Locations: Parcel #	Municipality
1 - N/A	ARARAT TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$1.00
Grantor: BABCOCK, JEFFREY D (AKA)	Grantee: BABCOCK, JEFFREY D
2 - BABCOCK, JEFFERY D	
Locations: Parcel #	Municipality
1 - 016.00-2,003.00.000.	HARMONY TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$1.00
Grantor: BEAUTZ, EDMUND S	Grantee: BEAUTZ, EDMUND S
2 - BEAUTZ, CAROL L	2 - BEAUTZ, CAROL L
Locations: Parcel #	Municipality
1 - N/A	UNIONDALE BOROUGH
Information:	Consideration: \$1.00
Grantor: BEAUTZ, EDMUND S	Grantee: BEAUTZ, EDMUND S
2 - BEAUTZ, CAROL L	2 - BEAUTZ, CAROL L
Locations: Parcel #	Municipality
1 - N/A	UNIONDALE BOROUGH
Information:	Consideration: \$1.00
Grantor: BEAUTZ, EDMUND S	Grantee: BEAUTZ, EDMUND S
2 - BEAUTZ, CAROL L	2 - BEAUTZ, CAROL L
Locations: Parcel #	Municipality
1 - N/A	UNIONDALE BOROUGH
Information:	Consideration: \$1.00
Grantor: BEAUTZ, EDMUND S	Grantee: BEAUTZ, EDMUND S
2 - BEAUTZ, CAROL L	2 - BEAUTZ, CAROL L
Locations: Parcel #	Municipality
1 - N/A	UNIONDALE BOROUGH

Information:	Consideration: \$140,000.00
Grantor: LEWINTER, MINDI SUE 2 - LEWINTER, DAVID E 3 - FISHLOW, STACY MICHELLE 4 - FISHLOW, JON	Grantee: LAMBERT, MICHAEL PATRICK 2 - BARSHINGER-LAMBERT, ADRIEN MERCEDES 3 - LAMBERT, ADRIEN MERCEDES BARSHINGER
Locations: Parcel # 1 - N/A	Municipality HERRICK TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$195,000.00
Grantor: THE LAKESIDE OUTING CLUB INC AKA LAKESIDE OUTING CLUB INC 2 - LAKESIDE OUTING CLUB INC (AKA)	Grantee: LLOYD, THOMAS D 2 - LLOYD, CATHERINE A
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 111.10-1.013.00.000.	Municipality NEW MILFORD TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$1.00
Grantor: STOCKER, CHARLES W (ESTATE)	Grantee: CURRENT, JAMES M
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 133.00-1.002.00.000.	Municipality THOMPSON TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$269,000.00
Grantor: OLIVER, DANA J	Grantee: BEAR SWAMP FOREST LLC
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 238.00-1.037.00.000.	Municipality SPRINGVILLE TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$10,000.00
Grantor: OGLE, RUTH	Grantee: GRIFFITHS, GARETH D 2 - GRIFFITHS, COLLEEN M
Locations: Parcel # 1 - N/A	Municipality CLIFFORD TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$1.00
Grantor: NOONAN, ASBJORG	Grantee: LOWNES, KIM E
Locations: Parcel # 1 - 189.00-2.010.00.000.	Municipality HERRICK TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$132,000.00
Grantor: CHADWICK, KEITH D 2 - CHADWICK, GAIL E	Grantee: STANTON, ELIZABETH L
Locations: Parcel # 1 - N/A	Municipality NEW MILFORD TOWNSHIP
Information:	Consideration: \$1.00
Grantor: OLVER, JOHN 2 - OLVER, MARY	Grantee: OLVER, KEVIN
Locations: Parcel # 1 - N/A	Municipality LENOX TOWNSHIP



Legal Journal of Susquehanna County
3305 Lake Ariel Highway, Suite 3
Honesdale, PA 18431

